IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT NO. 2798 OF 1987

Ace Movie Maker . ... Plaintiff
VS.
Melodious Movies and anr. . . ... Defendants

Utkarsh Tiwari i/b.
Shantilal & Co., for Plaintiff.
None for defendant.

CORAM: **D.G. KARNIK J**.
DATE: 14/7/2006

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the plaintiff. None present for the defendants. The defendants have not filed their written statements. The suit shall proceed against the defendants without their written statement.
2. Considering the nature of the suit I do not consider it appropriate to pass a decree under Order 8 Rule 10 of C.P.C., without evidence. The Plaintiff is therefore directed to adduce evidence in support of its case.
3. The Plaintiff shall file the original documents on which it relies and also file affidavit of its witnesses in lieu of examination in chief within a period of one week.

(D.G. KARNIK J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT NO. 2798 OF 1987

Ace Movie Maker . ... Plaintiff
VS.
Melodious Movies and anr. . . ... Defendants

J. P. Singh i/b. R. K. Midha for plaintiff.
None for defendant.

CORAM: **D.G. KARNIK J**.
DATE: 14/7/2006

P.C.:

Counsel for the Plaintiff reports no instructions. Nobody else is present for plaintiff. Plaintiff is absent when called. The Defendants are also absent. Suit is dismissed under Order 9 Rule 3 CPC.

(D.G. KARNIK J.)